UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,015	11/26/2003	Alexei A. Erchak	L0655.70027US01	7556
72576 LUMINUS DE	7590 08/28/200 VICES , INC.	EXAMINER		
C/O WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.			WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L	
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2889	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/724,015		ERCHAK, ALEXE	I A.
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Joseph L. W	illiams	2889	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication ap	ppears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ROM THE MAILING I der the provisions of 37 CFR 1 date of this communication. the maximum statutory perior ded period for reply will, by statu- tan three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event d will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication is FINAL.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is closed in accordance with the communication is closed.</li> </ul>	2b)☐ Th in condition for allow	nis action is nor ance except fo	r formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-54,56,60,6</u> 4a) Of the above claim( 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>75-91 and 93-6</u> ) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-54,56,60,6</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are of 8) ☐ Claim(s) are sub	s) is/are withdra <u>106</u> is/are allowed. <u>3-65,67-74</u> is/are rejea bjected to.	cted.	ideration.		
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) Certified copies of the certifi	☐ None of:  of the priority documer  of the priority documer  tified copies of the pri  the International Bure	nts have been nts have been iority documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati s have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr.  3) Information Disclosure Statement(spaper No(s)/Mail Date	awing Review (PTO-948)	_	)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da )  Notice of Informal F )  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/724,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 2889

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The response and terminal disclaimer filed on 7/14/2008 has been entered but does not obviate the double patenting rejection of 6/6/2008.

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 27-54, 56, 60, 63-65, and 67-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-41 of prior U.S. Patent No. 7,345,416. This is a double patenting rejection.

<u>10/724,015</u>	<u>US 7,345,416</u>
27	1
60	2
28	3
29	4
30	5

Art Unit: 2889

31	6	
32	7	
33	8	
34	9	
35	10	
36	11	
37	12	
38	13	
39	14	
40	15	
41	16	
42	17	
43	18	
44	19	
45	20	
46	21	
47	22	
48	23	
49	24	
50	25	
51	26	
52	27	

Application/Control Number: 10/724,015 Page 4

Art Unit: 2889

53	28
54	29
56	31
63	32
64	33
65	30
66	30
67	34
68	35
69	36
70	37
71	38
72	39
73	40
74	41

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 75-91 and 93-106 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please note that claims 27-54, 56, 60, 63-65, and 67-74 have been

Art Unit: 2889

rejected under a statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection, which can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer, which cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/724,015

Art Unit: 2889

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minh-Toan Ton can be reached on (571) 272-2303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph L. Williams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2889

Page 6